



Board of Park Commissioners

Regular Meeting

335 South Broadway
De Pere, WI 54115
<http://www.de-pere.org>

Agenda

Thursday, October 17, 2019

6:30 PM

De Pere City Hall Council Chambers

Pursuant to Wisconsin Statutes 19.84, Notice is hereby given to the public that a meeting of the **Board of Park Commissioners** of the City of De Pere will be held on **October 17, 2019 at 6:30 PM** in the **De Pere City Hall Council Chambers, 335 S. Broadway Street, De Pere, WI 54115.**

This meeting can be viewed LIVE at www.depere.tv. This meeting is also rebroadcast on Spectrum Cable Channel 4 and AT&T U-verse Channel 99 throughout the week and available on demand at www.depere.tv.

I. Call to Order

1. Roll Call

II. Action Items

1. Approve Board of Park Commissioners Minutes from the September 19, 2019 Meeting.
2. Consideration and Approval to accept \$500 donation from Nicolet National Bank for Community Center Flooring Project.*
3. Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Repair to the Dehumidification System Coil at De Pere Ice Arena.*
4. Review and make recommendations to Aquatic Consultant on sustainable and energy efficient concepts.
5. Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Operating Dates for Legion Pool in 2020.
6. Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Change of Closing Policy and Procedure for Low Attendance at Pool
7. Consideration and Possible Action to Cancel Project with Definitely DePere to Install Historic Monument.*
8. Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Removing the Lighting of Soccer Field at Southwest Park from Capital Improvement Plan and Sell on Surplus.
9. Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Removing the Paving Project at Southwest Park from the Capital Improvement Plan and Consider Options for Reallocating Funds.*

III. Discussion Items

1. Discussion regarding Bald Eagle Nest at Fairgrounds property.

IV. Staff Updates

1. Update on Status of Bomier Boat Launch Construction.
2. Report on Outcome of 2019 Beer Gardens and Planning for 2020.
3. Update on Research Being Conducted Regarding Dogs in the Parks.

V. Future Agenda Items

VI. Public Comment Period

VII. Adjournment

Any person wishing to attend this meeting who, because of disability, requires special accommodations should contact the Clerk-Treasurer's office at 339-4050 by Noon, the previous day so that arrangements can be made.

Board Members
Alderpersons
Mayor Michael J. Walsh
Larry Delo, City Administrator
Judy Schmidt-Lehman, City Attorney
Marty Kosobucki
Carey Danen, City Clerk
City Hall 1st and 2nd Floor
Dennis Krueger, WDP High School
District
William Soquet, Teen Advisor
Don Chilson, Brown County Ice Mgmt
Pat Skalecki, Graef

De Pere Youth Hockey
Tod Maki, De Pere Select Soccer
De Pere Area Chamber of Commerce
Definitely De Pere
Brown County Library – De Pere
TV & Radio Stations
De Pere Rapides Youth Soccer
De Pere Baseball
Ben Villaruel, De Pere School District

Lydia McMorrow, Teen Advisor
Tina Quigley, Definitely De Pere
Dale Rhodes, De Pere Select Soccer

Notice is hereby given that a majority of the Members of the Common Council of the City of De Pere may attend this meeting to gather information about a subject (s) over which they have decision making responsibility.

***All items marked with an asterisk will be forwarded to the Common Council.**



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Grace Lahtela

SUBJECT: Approve Board of Park Commissioners Minutes from the September 19, 2019 Meeting.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Draft Minutes 9-19-19 (PDF)



Board of Park Commissioners

Regular Meeting

335 South Broadway
De Pere, WI 54115
<http://www.de-pere.org>

Draft Minutes

Thursday, September 19, 2019

6:30 PM

De Pere City Hall Council Chambers

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 PM by Board Member Randy Soquet

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Ryan Jennings	Alderman	Present	
Amy Chandik Kunding	Alderman	Present	
Dean Raasch	Alderman	Present	
George Brown	Board Member	Excused	
Sue Schinkten	Board Member	Present	
Randy Soquet	Board Member	Present	
Bill Volpano	Board Member	Present	
Lydia McMorro	Teen Advisor	Present	
William Soquet	Teen Advisor	Present	

Others present: Don Melichar, Park Superintendent/Forester, Paula Rahn, Recreation Superintendent, and John McDonald, Recreation Supervisor.

II. Action Items

1. Approve Board of Park Commissioners Minutes from the August 15, 2019 Meeting.

Alderman Raasch moved to approve the minutes from the August 15, 2019 Board of Park Commissioners meeting, seconded by Bill Volpano. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Dean Raasch, Alderman
SECONDER:	Bill Volpano, Board Member
AYES:	Jennings, Kunding, Raasch, Schinkten, Soquet, Volpano
EXCUSED:	George Brown

2. Approve \$250 Donation from The Pink Flamingos for the De Pere Community Center Flooring Project*

Sue Schinkten moved to approve the \$250 donation from Pink Flamingos for the Community Center flooring project, seconded by Alderman Chandik Kunding. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Sue Schinkten, Board Member
SECONDER:	Amy Chandik Kunding, Alderman
AYES:	Jennings, Kunding, Raasch, Schinkten, Soquet, Volpano
EXCUSED:	George Brown

3. Consider request from De Pere Rapides to waive non-resident fees for Town of Lawrence.

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry explained that in 2010, De Pere Rapides Soccer and the Town of Ledgeview asked for non-resident fees to be

Attachment: Draft Minutes 9-19-19 (8832 : Approve Board of Park Commissioners Minutes from the September 19, 2019 Meeting.)

eliminated for the Town of Ledgeview residents. The request was made because the number of fields being utilized in the Town of Ledgeview for the Rapides program was proportionate to the number of participants and was approved by Common Council. This request is similar in nature, but it is for the Town of Lawrence. De Pere Rapides currently uses three fields in the Town of Lawrence and this is proportionate to the number of Lawrence residents that participant in the program. If approved, this request would need to go to Common Council for final approval.

Sue Schinkten moved to open the meeting to public comment at 6:35 pm, seconded by Alderperson Jennings. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Jim Westerman, representing De Pere Rapides Soccer commented that he feels this would be a fair arrangement as it compares to the one that was approved for Ledgeview. Also, he is requesting that if the request is approved that it be retroactive for 2019 fees. Alderperson Raasch questioned what fields are being used in the Town of Lawrence, what age groups are using the fields, and the percentage of participants and fields for the Town of Lawrence.

Jim Westerman stated that not including Wrightstown, there are 1,324 participants in the program. The Town of Lawrence has 167 participants for 12.6% and provides 3 of the 19 fields for 15.8%. The City of De Pere provides 10 fields or 46.8%

A detailed discussion followed regarding the De Pere Rapides program.

Alderperson Raasch questioned if De Pere Rapides Soccer was a De Pere Park and Recreation ran program.

Marty Kosobucki commented that the De Pere Rapides is a completely separate organization, but the city is a co-sponsor of the program.

Alderperson Raasch moved to return to regular order at 6:42 pm, seconded by Bill Volpano. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Discussion followed.

Alderperson Jennings questioned if there would be any difficulty with the request being retroactive to 2019.

Alderperson Raasch moved to open the meeting at 6:43 pm, seconded by Sue Schinkten. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Jim Westerman, representing De Pere Rapides explained that Rapides does not charge a non-resident fee and the organization absorbs the non-resident fees.

A detailed discussion followed regarding non-resident fees and the possibility of just renting fields versus charging resident and non-resident fees.

Alderperson Raasch moved to close the meeting to public comment at 6:52 pm, seconded by Alderperson Jennings. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Sue Schinkten moved to approve the request to waive non-resident fees for the Town of Lawrence participants in the De Pere Rapides Rec soccer program and for this request be retroactive to 2019, seconded by Alderperson Jennings.

Discussion followed.

Alderperson Jennings questioned the numbers on non-resident participants.

John McDonald, Recreation Supervisor commented that per the numbers that Jim Westerman provided for Town of Lawrence had 167 participants for 2019. With a \$20 non-resident fee, the financial impact would be \$3,340.

Alderperson Raasch commented that he is going to vote against the motion. He feels the topic of resident and non-resident fees needs to be a discussion with Common Council and there has to be a cleaner, easier way to allocate fields and fees.

Upon roll call vote, the motion passed 5-1 with Alderperson Raasch voting nay.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [5 TO 1]
MOVER:	Sue Schinkten, Board Member
SECONDER:	Ryan Jennings, Alderperson
AYES:	Jennings, Kundinger, Schinkten, Soquet, Volpano
NAYS:	Dean Raasch
EXCUSED:	George Brown

4. Approve Reallocating Partial Funding from Southwest Lighting Project to Riverwalk Maintenance. *

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry summarized that the Southwest Park paving project came in significantly higher than what was budgeted. During the budget workshop session, it was recommended to eliminate the project. This request is to reallocate a portion of these funds to cover repairs that are needed on the Riverwalk.

Alderperson Raasch moved to approve reallocating \$5,000 from the Southwest Park paving project to the Riverwalk for maintenance, seconded by Bill Volpano. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Dean Raasch, Alderperson
SECONDER:	Bill Volpano, Board Member
AYES:	Jennings, Kundinger, Raasch, Schinkten, Soquet, Volpano
EXCUSED:	George Brown

5. Approve 9th Amendment to Humana Lease for Open Space and Ball Diamond.*

Alderperson Raasch moved to approve the 9th Amendment to the Humana Lease for use of the Humana Sports Park, seconded by Bill Volpano. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Dean Raasch, Alderperson
SECONDER:	Bill Volpano, Board Member
AYES:	Jennings, Kundinger, Raasch, Schinkten, Soquet, Volpano
EXCUSED:	George Brown

III. Discussion Items

1. Discussion on Policy Related to Dogs in Parks.

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry provided a summary of the current policy of allowing dogs in city parks. Brown County Supervisor Knizel requested that the Park Board review the current policy and possibly recommend ordinance changes. If the Park Board is interested, the City Attorney's office would draft any changes wanted and it would be brought back to Park Board as an ordinance change. Sue Schinkten moved to open the meeting to public comment at 7:03 pm, seconded by Alderperson Jennings. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

James Knizel, Brown County District 19 Supervisor and a member of the Boston Terrier rescue explained that about 1 ½ years ago, Brown County approved opening all their parks to dogs. Since the change, there has been little to no customer concerns. More people are viewing their pets as part of the family, and it would allow for clearer enforcement of policy for the city.

Sue Schinkten questioned if all Brown County Parks have dog waste stations.
 Alderperson Raash questioned Brown County's position on youth sporting events.
 Sue Schinkten moved to close the meeting to public comment at 7:15 pm, seconded by Alderperson Raasch. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Discussion followed.

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry explained he received comments from one resident that has concerns with allowing dogs in the parks. The user groups were also contacted with De Pere baseball having no issues allowing dogs in the park if they are not allowed on the field; De Pere Select Soccer and Girls Softball are not in favor of allowing dogs in the park; and De Pere Rapides has mixed feeling, however stated it is tough to control as policy is now.

Bill Volpano questioned if we have complaints with the current ordinance and feels it might be helpful to conform to Brown County regulations.

Sue Schinkten commented that the layout of the parks will make it extremely hard to determine where dogs would actually be allowed in the parks.

Alderperson Jennings commented it might be beneficial to clearly identify what parks are allowed and which parks are prohibited.

Randy Soquet requested that additional information be gathered on what parks would be considered pet friendly and which ones would not.

Alderperson Chandik Kundinger questioned the percentage of city residences that have dogs and the number of residents would be affected by an ordinance change.

RESULT:	DISCUSSED
----------------	------------------

2. Aquatic Annual Report

John McDonald, Recreation Supervisor reviewed the aquatic annual report in detail.

Sue Schinkten commented that she was surprised the attendance numbers were not higher with the VFW pool being closed.

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry commented on the weather for the summer.

Alderperson Raasch also commented on the attendance numbers.

Alderperson Jennings commented on the great initiatives implemented this year.

RESULT:	DISCUSSED
----------------	------------------

3. Presentation from Park Superintendent/City Forester on Emerald Ash Borer and tree planting.

Don Melichar, Parks Superintendent/Forester gave a detailed presentation on Emerald Ash Borer (EAB) and what the City policy is regarding removals and planting.

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry commented on the number of trees that the city is taking down and the number replanted. Staff routinely plants more trees than what is taken down. With the timeline of EAB, the city will need to make a large financial investment within the next few years to prepare for what will need to be done.

Alderperson Raasch thanked Don for the presentation and explained the Forestry Department has recently dealt with some very outspoken residents regarding department policies. This presentation was important, not only to show what a good job is being done, but for residents to have the facts and the importance for the community to know what is being done. He stated that the city does a great job keeping the trees as healthy as possible, in a way that is prudent and right for the city.

Alderson Chandik Kunding commented sharing the information on social media to help inform citizens.

RESULT:	DISCUSSED
----------------	------------------

IV. Staff Updates

1. Update on the Status of the 2020 Budget.

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry provided a list of items that were removed or changed in the budget.

RESULT:	DISCUSSED
----------------	------------------

2. Update on the Outcome of the August Beer Garden.

Marty Kosobucki, Director of Parks, Recreation and Forestry presented a financial summary of the August event. He also stated that Mischief and Magic was hired for the September event to do balloon tying for the kids. Also, there will be recognition of organizations that have been vital to the parks, including Tweet Garot, De Pere Men's Club, the Pink Flamingo and others.

RESULT:	DISCUSSED
----------------	------------------

V. Future Agenda Items

Alderson Jennings requested a discussion item for fireworks near the eagles nest at the Fairgrounds property.

Randy Soquet requested the consideration of a policy change related to dogs in the parks to be a future action item.

VI. Public Comment Period

Marty Kosobucki commented that the final beer garden is on Tuesday, September 24th.

VII. Adjournment

Sue Schinkten moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:20 pm, seconded by Bill Volpano. Upon vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,
Grace Lahtela



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Paula Rahn

SUBJECT: Consideration and Approval to accept \$500 donation from Nicolet National Bank for Community Center Flooring Project.*

Staff is seeking approval to accept a \$500 donation from Nicolet National Bank towards the flooring project for the upper level of the Community Center. This entire project is to be funded through private donations; thus far we have raised nearly \$9,500. Total projected cost of project is \$22,000.

Thank you for your time and consideration.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Repair to the Dehumidification System Coil at De Pere Ice Arena.*

We have received notification from Brown County Ice Management (BCIM) that the coil in the Dehumidification System has failed and needs to be replaced. BCIM is currently obtaining an estimate to have the coil replaced and should have in time for our Board of Park Commissioner meeting.

Depending on the amount, funding for the project can come from multiple sources. Staff will have recommendations for you at the meeting.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Review and make recommendations to Aquatic Consultant on sustainable and energy efficient concepts.

Included in your packet is a report from Graef regarding concepts for the VFW Aquatic Facility pertaining to sustainable and/or energy efficiencies. As part of our agreement with Graef, they were to research and present sustainable and energy efficient concepts to the Board of Park Commissioners. It will be the task of the Park Board to provide direction to Graef on which ideas/concepts you would like to see be implemented into the Technical Design.

ATTACHMENTS:

- VFW Aquatic Sustainable and Cost Savings Letter Report FINAL 10-11-19 (PDF)



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

October 10, 2019

Mr. Marty Kosobucki, Director
 City of De Pere – Parks, Recreation and Forestry
 925 S. Sixth Street
 De Pere, WI 54115

SUBJECT: VFW Aquatic Facility
 Sustainable, Efficient and/or Cost Savings Strategies

Dear Marty:

As part of the design effort, our team was tasked with investigating and evaluating sustainable, efficient and/or cost savings strategies for possibly implementation in the project and to provide recommendations to the Park Board for considerations. These strategies could include location of the project improvements and footprint impacts to the park and park elements; building design and orientation; and of course, mechanical/HVAC, electrical, plumbing systems for both the building and aquatics.

This letter report provides an outline of the features and strategies considered, summarizes our evaluations, and concludes with our recommendations of strategies the City of De Pere could include in the project design. We offer this report for review and evaluation by the Park Board and your staff and look forward to further discussion on this topic at the Park Board Meeting on October 17th.

Architectural Strategies

The City of De Pere is looking for an aquatics facility building than can be operated efficiently during the summer months, but also monitored easily during the shutdown months of the year.

The Architectural considerations for this facility is to design the building envelope that will provide the best performance for the life cycle of the building and optimize the spaces for the facility staff and public. Using daylighting techniques, the placement of windows at clearstory will maximize the natural light for locker rooms, restrooms and changing areas. Using translucent window panels in areas of direct sun in areas requiring privacy, will provide better thermal performance than a traditional window and produce an even natural light.

To accomplish this strategy, we can look at developing the desired building envelope design of the building; insulation, thermal bridging, window and door types, shading devices, management of solar energy, and heat and air systems.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategies Considered:

Masonry wall construction:

1. Increase cavity wall insulation with High R-value per inch.
2. Construction of masonry walls to truss bearing height and stud framing construction above to desired height.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Increasing the R-value of exterior walls to reduce cooling costs and added protection for interior building materials from extreme temperatures.
2. Masonry wall exterior shell with interior masonry walls to roof framing bearing height and a stud wall system above. This will provide more flexibility for the design and construction of mechanical, plumbing and electrical during construction and any changes that may occur in the future.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Exceeds code minimum efficiencies for masonry construction.
2. Minimizes foundation wall width.
3. Increased insulation costs.
4. Flexible clear story space for mechanical, plumbing and electrical.
5. Extend life of interior finishes from extreme heat and cold.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Maximize masonry wall insulation with High R-value per inch products.
2. Masonry wall construction to truss bearing heights with wood framing above to underside of roof structure as required.

Stud wall construction:

1. Increase R-value per inch of wall space with closed cell spray-foam insulation.
2. Wood stud framing above masonry walls to desired wall height or underside of roof structure.
3. Cement board siding exterior and interior above masonry walls.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Closed cell spray-foam insulation provides higher R-value per inch and a continuous vapor barrier at exterior wall locations in lieu of loose laid insulation and mechanically fastened vapor barriers.
2. Cement board siding provides a durable, moisture and mold resistant surface. Easier to frame around mechanical, plumbing and electrical openings than masonry.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Stud wall construction is less expensive than masonry construction.
2. Higher R-value than masonry construction in areas above direct water contact.
3. Spray-foam insulation costs more than traditional loose laid insulation.
4. Spray-foam insulation can be used as a moisture barrier.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Stud frame construction above masonry walls.
2. Install closed cell spray-foam insulation at building envelope locations.
3. Install cement board siding and trim above masonry walls heights.

Foundation and floor slab:

1. Add below floor slab insulation and vapor barrier.
2. Add insulation thermal breaks to eliminate thermal bridging and movement at foundation and floor slabs.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Adding insulation below the floor slab to improve envelop performance and better protects spaces in extreme temperatures during shutdown.
2. Install vapor barrier below floor slab to reduce moisture migration through the floor that can potentially damage the floor system.
3. Providing thermal breaks stops the migration of cold temperatures from damaging the flooring in extreme cold temperatures.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Added expense for floor slab construction.
2. Improve building envelope performance.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Add below slab insulation and vapor barrier.
2. Provide thermal breaks between foundation and floor slabs.

Roofing:

1. Standing seam metal roof system or shingle roof system.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. The roof construction options suggest either an Asphalt shingle roofing system or standing seam metal roofing system. A standing seam metal roof system has a longer life, and can have a lower minimum roof slopes, but costs are higher for materials and installation. A shingle roof system has a shorter life than a metal roof but can be more easily repaired or replaced. A shingle roof is an option to reduce initial construction costs with a reasonable life span.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Metal roof system is more expensive.
2. Metal roof has a longer life than a shingle roof system.
3. Shingle roof system is less expensive.
4. Shingle roof is easier to repair.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Install shingle roof system with minimum 30-year life cycle.

Roof insulation:

1. Increase above ceiling insulation to improve heating and cooling losses.
2. Maximize the R-value at wall to roof connections and miscellaneous penetrations to minimize or eliminate any thermal bridging.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Increasing R-values that exceed code minimum will reduce heating and cooling costs for the life of the building and better withstand extreme summer and winter temperatures.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Increase insulation costs.
2. Improve building envelope performance in extreme temperatures.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Maximize insulation above ceilings.

Doors and Windows:

1. Fiberglass doors and frames in high moisture and chemical corrosive areas.
2. Aluminum doors and window frames in lieu of steel framed doors and windows.
3. Translucent window panels.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. In mechanical building, using fiberglass doors and frames to extend the life cycle costs as hollow metal doors and frames deteriorate much faster in a high moisture, water and chemical corrosive spaces.
2. Using aluminum framed doors and windows in main facility will extend the life cycle costs as hollow metal doors, frames, and window frames will deteriorate in high moisture areas.
3. Translucent window panels provide even lighting and privacy for areas of direct sunlight and potential voyeur locations.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Increased material costs.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

2. Improve doors and windows life cycle costs.
3. Improved lighting performance.
4. Privacy with eliminated windows.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Install fiberglass doors and frames in the mechanical building.
2. Install aluminum doors, frames and windows in main pool facility.
3. Install translucent window panels in strategic locations to provide additional lighting and maintain privacy and security.

Management of Solar Energy:

1. Exterior shading devices.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Exterior shading devices will provide desired locations for shade, reduce heat loss and have better visibility to the exterior to monitor the pool area. This can be achieved with roof overhangs, a trellis, a canopy, a plantation shade shutters, architectural light shelves or shade louvers.
2. Potential locations for shading devices would be the main entrance with south facing doors and windows. This would be the largest solar heat gain location.
3. The concession windows for shade in the summer, and wind or rain protection in the colder months.
4. At the lifeguard offices provide shade canopy over windows for best visibility of the pool areas and eliminate potential glare. Minimize use of pull down shades that can reduce visual quality.

Strategy Pro/Cons

1. Added construction costs.
2. Improve cooling performance of building.
3. Improve visual security and safety with glare reduction.
4. Provide users with shaded areas with pool area.
5. Provide cover and shade at concession windows.

Strategy Recommendations

1. Install shading devices in strategic locations for best safety and performance of the facility. Non-essential shading devices for convince and added user comfort can be phased into the future.

Structural Strategies

Structural sustainability and cost savings strategies are limited due to the critical function of the structural systems, especially for a facility of this type. From a sustainability



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

standpoint, recycled materials and fly ash can be considered in the concrete mix designs for the building foundations and pool shells. That is a typical standard and would normally be implemented in the project. We also can require locally or regionally sourced materials and limit the distance from the project that they are obtained. Steel reinforcing is traditionally used; however, fiber reinforcement can be utilized in certain cases to simplify and expedite the concrete pour process saving time and money. Structural framing of the roof and vaulted areas of the ceiling can be achieved with pre-engineered trusses.

Strategy Recommendations:

1. Utilize selected materials with a minimum recycled material content.
2. Utilize fiber reinforcement for concrete in selected locations as appropriate.
3. Utilize Regional Materials: Furnish materials extracted, processed and manufactured within 500 miles of the Project site.
4. Pre-engineered truss frames to reduce roof framing costs.

Mechanical/HVAC Strategies

Strategy considered

1. Utilization of Geothermal Energy

Strategy evaluation summary

3. Utilize a geothermal heat pump system to heat, cool, and potentially supply hot water by transferring heat to and from the ground.

Strategy Pros/Cons

6. Exceeds code minimum efficiencies.
7. Is typically a cost prohibitive measure.

Strategy Recommendation

1. For the size of the project, the cost to install a geothermal heat pump system would most likely be cost prohibitive for the project.

Strategy considered

1. Utilize VRF Technology for HVAC System design.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Utilize a variable refrigerant flow system to provide heating and cooling in the main building.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Exceeds code minimum efficiencies.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

2. Less expensive than a geothermal heat pump system.
3. Is more expensive than a packaged unit or furnaces but will provide energy savings.

Strategy Recommendation

1. This strategy is recommended. A VRF system will provide long term energy savings and will be an energy efficient solution.

Strategy considered

1. ERV for exhaust/makeup air for locker rooms

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Use an energy recovery ventilator to preheat/cool the make up air for the locker rooms.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Exceeds code minimum efficiencies.
2. Is typically most efficient in spaces with high exhaust demands, such as locker rooms.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Recommend this be implemented for the exhaust from the locker rooms.

Strategy considered

1. Direct Digital Controls (DDC) system to provide scheduling and monitoring of system.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Utilizing a DDC system within the pool building will allow for setback during periods of non-use of the building, which will save energy.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. There is minimal amount of additional costs for DDC system implementation on projects.
2. The additional amount of control helps save a lot of energy during periods of non-use, holidays, and off-peak hours.
3. A DDC system will also alert the user when there is an equipment malfunction, allowing for better response to issues.

Strategy Recommendation

1. The use of a DDC system is highly recommended for this project.



Electrical Strategies

Strategy considered

1. Incorporation of LED light fixtures.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Standardize on the use of LED light fixtures for all interior and exterior lighting.
Do not use any fluorescent, incandescent, or H.I.D. light fixtures.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Longer lamp life
2. Higher efficiency
3. Easily dimmable
4. More compact source results in more luminaire options.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Recommend this be implemented. The lighting industry is producing LED lighting to the extent other sources are considered obsolete. There is no longer a premium to be paid for using LED fixtures. Energy savings and ability to fine tune the controls make LED's the light source of choice.

Strategy considered

1. Occupancy sensor lighting controls for interior lighting

Strategy evaluation summary

1. In addition to manual lighting controls, use occupancy sensors to control lighting in interior spaces. Fixtures will still be provided with controls to allow occupants to turn lights off manually. If switches are not used, lights will extinguish automatically after a pre-programmed period of time, typically 30 minutes.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Slight additional cost but bypasses code requirement for timed off controls.
2. Auto-off function has been problematic in the past but using dual technology sensors, this issue has been largely eliminated.
3. Will save energy by ensuring lights are extinguished when spaces are unoccupied. This is particularly effective in spaces where there are common users, as opposed to a single occupant space where there is some individual ownership.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Recommend this be implemented. It satisfies a code requirement but will also reduce energy usage. There are many manufacturers of this equipment making the strategy very cost competitive.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy considered

1. Incorporation of lighting dimming controls for interior spaces.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Use daylight sensors for lighting controls in interior spaces. Sensors will provide not just on/off functionality but will automatically dim fixtures, adjusting in real-time for contributions to space illumination from natural light.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. LED fixtures are easily dimmable. Fixture cost will be unaffected.
2. Slight added cost for sensors, but most occupancy sensor manufacturers make combination occupancy/daylight sensors, making the cost increase modest.
3. Reduces energy usage automatically, thus guaranteeing energy savings. Energy savings are not reliant on human intervention.

Strategy Recommendation

1. Recommend this strategy be implemented. Technology and cost savings are both proven entities, and cost increase is minimal.

Strategy considered

1. Provide solar panels to offset utility energy charges for electrical power usage.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Solar panels can be used as a source of renewable energy. Power from solar panels can be used to reduce the pools energy bills and may also be used to sell power back to the utility.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Initial cost will not usually pay back in a reasonable period. This strategy will usually require some subsidies to make it a viable option financially.
2. While solar panels will result in a reduction of energy usage, electrical service size and service equipment will still need to be sized as if there is no solar power available. There would be no offsetting savings for downsizing the electrical service equipment.
3. Space will need to be found for solar panels.
4. The facility will be used only seasonally. The investment in solar equipment and associated distribution will offer no savings during the off-season months.
5. Utilities are required by law to buy back power from sustainable sources. General cost of energy is 10 cents/kWhr. Utility will buy back at the wholesale rate of 4 cents/kWhr.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy Recommendation

1. In order to provide a clear recommendation, the building design will need to advance further so allow an analysis of anticipated energy usage and analysis of billing rates and energy costs can be done accurately. We anticipate that the cost/benefit analysis will result in a “do not implement” recommendation.

Plumbing Strategies

Strategy considered

1. Incorporation of energy efficient plumbing fixtures.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Standardize on the use of low-flow (low flow toilets, waterless urinals, motion activated faucets) or energy efficient plumbing fixtures for all locker rooms and restrooms. Do not use any high flow fixtures.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Higher efficiency
2. Lower water and energy usage
3. Little to no additional cost

Strategy Recommendation

1. Utilizing energy efficient plumbing fixtures is highly recommended for this project.

Strategy considered

1. Incorporation of solar hot water heating.

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Utilize solar panels to provide solar hot water heating for plumbing fixtures in the locker rooms and restrooms.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Higher efficiency
2. Lower water and energy usage
3. Can be cost prohibitive as a water heater would still be required for backup.

Strategy Recommendation

1. This option may be cost prohibitive for this project but could be worth further investigation.

Strategy considered

1. Incorporation of gray water reclamation for plumbing fixtures.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Rain water could be captured to use for gray water to plumbing fixtures.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Higher efficiency
2. Lower water and energy usage
3. Can be cost prohibitive as there is additional piping and equipment required for this system.

Strategy Recommendation

1. This solution would be additional cost for a small impact and is not recommended for this project.

Aquatics Strategies

Strategy considered

1. Enzyme Treatment

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Pool enzymes supplement pool disinfection, making it more effective

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Enzyme treatments provide enhancements to pool water chemistry for a relatively low investment.
2. Operational costs of enzyme treatments are low.
3. Enzymes deal well with seasonal pool contaminants such as oils.

Strategy Recommendations

1. Develop enzyme strategy based on pool water chemistry

Strategy considered

1. Robotic or manual pool vacuum

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Pool vacuums are required for periodic clean of pool bottom

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Robotic pool vacuums are effective, efficient, and cost effective.
2. Current models are more durable and are programable.
3. Manual vacuums require staff time.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy Recommendations

1. Provide a quality commercial robotic vacuum such as the Maytronics Wave 300 XL or Enduro.
2. Provide a small vacuum for spot cleaning is also recommended (Hammerhead Resort 21).
3. Consideration should be given to the Hammerhead Service 2_, which is a transportable model which could be used at multiple locations.

Strategy considered

1. UV Disinfection

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Ultraviolet light (UV) disinfection as a supplement to required chemical treatments. UV provides non-chemical oxidation.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. UV disinfection is a proven strategy for reducing waterborne contaminants.
2. UV is recommended by WTI on all indoor pools.
3. Outdoor pools receive natural UV from sunlight.

Strategy Recommendations

1. UV is not recommended on pool filtration for outdoor pools due to natural UV provided by sunlight.
2. UV is recommended on water spray systems such as activity water.

Strategy considered

1. Pool Cover

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Pool thermal covers, placed on pools overnight during season reduce overnight heat loss.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Pool covers reduce overnight heat loss due to evaporation and conduction.
2. Covers require an initial investment, storage space, and staff time to deploy and remove.

Strategy Recommendations

1. Present for consideration by staff.
2. Covers will provide savings, but only if used.
3. Can have implications on staff opening requirements.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy considered

1. Solar supplemental heating

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Use of solar heating (thermal or photovoltaic) as a supplement to pool heaters

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Solar heating would be a supplement to a pool heater to reduce pool heater run times.
2. Initial investment is high.

Strategy Recommendations

1. Not recommended due to extended payback (20+ seasons)

Strategy considered

1. Actuated valves on heater loop bypass

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Evaluate cost benefit of placing an actuated valve on the heater bypass

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. An actuated valve on heater bypass could fully open during non-heating days, reducing load on pool circulation pump.
2. Initial cost of valve, operator and controls balanced against reduced pump load.

Strategy Recommendations

1. Further evaluation required.
2. The load imposed by the valve on the pump is minimal, so payback is extended.
3. Valve for investment is questionable.

Strategy considered

1. Filtration type

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Selection of Regenerative Media (RM) filtration or High Rate Sand (HRS) filtration

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Regenerative Media filtration provides excellent filtration (4 microns) and uses much less water in the backwash cycle. Requires additional cleaning cycle in season pools due to oils, large initial investment.
2. High Rate Sand filtration is well established, provides good filtration (25 microns) and is cost effective.



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

Strategy Recommendations

1. High Rate Sand is recommended due to seasonal pool oils (suntan lotions) and seasonal operations extending payback period to over 15 seasons.

Strategy considered

1. Valuable Frequency Drives for Pool Pump Motors

Strategy evaluation summary

1. Potential investment payback for seasonal pool estimated at 4 seasons.

Strategy Pros/Cons

1. Valuable Frequency Drives (VFD) act as both motor tarter and “soft starts” for large motors such as pool pumps.
2. VFD's provide efficiency by adjusting the motor speed to the required output, reducing energy usage.
3. Initial cost is slightly higher than “soft starts”.

Strategy Recommendations

1. Include VFD's on pumps of 10hp and above.

Site/Landscape Strategies

Site and Landscape sustainable strategies are typically centered around minimizing the disturbed area impacts, stormwater management features such as biofilters and permeable pavers, stormwater collection and reuse for irrigation, native plants that don't require irrigation once established, and heat island mitigation. These are primarily sustainable items, but some cost savings can be realized by eliminating the need for irrigation of plantings.

The disturbed area was already analyzed and minimized during the conceptual design effort. This was revisited and we feel the footprint as shown on the concept design is appropriate and has been minimized as much as possible while maintaining functionality and use as desired.

Stormwater management features can be implemented for the parking area. Currently not stormwater management elements are in place in the site. Biofilters could be added to drainage areas behind the pavement to provide a water quality benefit to the site. This would address site drainage outside the pool deck. Pool deck drainage would be collected internally to the pool area and not discharge to the biofilter.



Permeable pavers could be considered in the entry areas or exterior walks. Permeable pavers provide a stormwater quantity and quality benefit by reducing runoff and filtering sediments. The systems required a stone storage layer and collection pipe beneath the pavers along with the pavers themselves, drives up cost, compared to traditional concrete pavement.

Stormwater collection and reuse for irrigation is a straightforward approach and fairly simple item to incorporate. Above ground or underground cisterns can be used, or rain barrels added to collect roof runoff. Cisterns will add cost with underground cisterns also requiring a pumping system for the irrigation use. Rain barrels are effective but not aesthetically pleasing for an aquatic facility. With the use of native plantings that are drought resistant, thus not requiring irrigation, this water reuse need becomes less important. We feel the use of native plantings not requiring irrigation would be less upfront cost, have less operation requirements and cost, and does not detract from the appearance of the space. We would recommend drought resistant native plantings be utilized.

Heat island mitigation is difficult in this facility because of the large open areas required for the aquatic areas and pool deck. The pool deck does not allow for planting areas and tree heights would need to be significant to provide the benefit desired. Parking areas could more easily be retrofitted to add trees, however, landscape islands would need to be added reducing the parking count. We would not recommend heat island mitigation to be implemented.

Strategy Recommendations:

1. Reduced site disturbance footprint – already implemented
2. Stormwater management features – add biofilters and include permeable pavers
3. Drought resistant native plantings.

Grants and Funding Sources – Cost Savings

Our grant and economic development specialist investigated many different types of available grants for applicability to this project type and the specific grant evaluation criteria. Unfortunately, there were a very limited number of public agency funding sources that would apply or where this project would have a favorable possibility of being selected for funding.

If the City would like to pursue outside funding, we would recommend a public and private business outreach campaign to solicit direct donations and/or sponsorships. This approach has proved to be highly successful in other area communities, most notably the recent Loop the Lake Boardwalk Bridges in Neenah and Menasha where over \$1 million in private donations were obtained. This type of effort will require a champion to lead the charge, with significant connections to private local businesses. Typically we



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

would see a local business group or advocate lead this charge along with the help of local business leaders. This outreach would involve developing a fundraising campaign with a memorable tagline and logo, photorealistic renderings, direct meetings, open houses, digital media campaigns, media coverage, identifiable sponsorship opportunities with donor recognition, and other approaches to build momentum and public excitement.

For the grants and funding sources investigated, below is a summary of the most realistic options:

Strategy Considered

Land and Water Conservation Fund (LAWCON) Grants

This is a federally funded program administered by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Each year the program provides roughly \$1.4 million of grant money to project across the state in an annual grant competition. Grant applications are due on May 1st of each year. Communities that are awarded funding through this program must commit to keeping the project in public use on into perpetuity (i.e. at least 25 years).

For purposes of funding the City of De Pere VFW Aquatic Facility project, two significant issues must be addressed;

#1 The next round of grant applications will likely not be accepted until May 1, 2020 and announcement of grant awards will likely not happen until November, 2020 or later. In order to be eligible, the project must not commence until after grant award. The existing De Pere VFW Aquatic Facility project schedule calls for construction contract award in June, 2020. To be eligible we must either shift back the overall project schedule or identify a sub-portion of the project that can be delayed and used to make application.

#2 Based on the point scoring system used to evaluate LAWCON grant applications, the De Pere Aquatic Facility project must demonstrate the following in order to be competitive:

- Demonstrate statewide significance
- Document Intergovernmental Cooperation
- Document Community Planning Efforts
- Document Economic Benefit
- Address Other Scoring Criteria as needed

While aquatic facilities can score in the above categories, the projects historically submitted for LAWCON grants have a much higher emphasis on natural resources and natural area recreation, and in almost all cases score very high in those areas as compared to an aquatic facility. The likelihood of scoring high enough to be awarded any funding under this grant program we would characterize as very low. This fact and



collaborāte / formulāte / innovāte

given the schedule of the grant application/award requiring a delay in the project to qualify, we feel this grant opportunity is not worth pursuing.

Focus -On-Energy Grants

This is an incentive program administered by the local power utility company (WE Energies), that provides cash incentives for installation of energy saving products.

Sincerely,

Patrick J. Skalecki, P.E., LEEP
Project Manager | Principal | Vice President

PJS:pjs

X:\GB\2019\20192045\Design\Reports\Sustainable and Cost Savings\VFW Aquatic Sustainable and Cost Savings Letter Report FINAL 10-11-19.docx

Enclosures



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: John McDonald

SUBJECT: Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Operating Dates for Legion Pool in 2020.

Staff is requesting the Board of Park Commissioners review and approve operational dates for Legion Pool in 2020. Our normal opening date has historically been the first Saturday in June, which correlated with the ending school for De Pere and West De Pere School Districts. As of recent years school districts are starting and ending their school year later, which is creating some challenges for us and use of the pool.

A history of our budget shows a total of 72 days that we market to be open. There are several scenarios of opening/closing Legion Pool shown identified in your packet. The first scenario represents our historical opening and closing dates.

Thank you for your time and considerations in this matter.

John McDonald
Recreation Supervisor

ATTACHMENTS:

- 2020 Pool Calendar Outlook (PDF)

2020 Pool Calendar Options

School District Last Days for Students

West De Pere – Friday June 5th 

De Pere – June 10th 

Scenario 1

Open – June 6th 

Close – August 16th 

Days Open - 72

Swim Lessons (9 week and regular)

Session 1 – June 15 – July 2 

Session 2 – July 6 – July 23 

Session 3 – July 27 – August 13 



Scenario 2

Open – June 13 

Close – August 16 

Days Open - 65

Swim Lessons (9 week and regular)

Session 1 – June 15 – July 2 

Session 2 – July 6 – July 23 

Session 3 – July 27 – August 13 



Scenario 3

Open – June 13 ○

Close – August 23 ○ (Would recommend limited hours last week)

Days Open - 72

Swim Lessons (9 week and regular)

Session 1 – June 15 – July 2 ○

Session 2 – July 6 – July 23 ○

Session 3 – July 27 – August 13 ○





City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: John McDonald

SUBJECT: Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Change of Closing Policy and Procedure for Low Attendance at Pool

Due to several requests and concern this past summer, we are bringing you our current policy as it relates to closing a pool due to low attendance. We are requesting the Park Board review the policy and provide direction on any changes they feel are warranted.

Our current policy is described below:

- Pools will stay open for one hour to start regardless of attendance.
- If there are less than 15 swimmers, pools may close after one hour.
- Participants must be given a 15-minute notice over the PA system the pool will be closing due to low attendance.
- The concession stand will not sell any tickets once a 15-minute warning has been given.
- If participants purchase tickets to swim before a decision is made to close, the pool must stay open until the first pool check or until the ticket holders leave (whichever occurs first).

Pool Check Times

- Monday - Friday 2:45pm & 7:15pm
- Saturday & Sunday - 2:30pm - 4:15pm
- Refunds are not given if pools close early.
- Concession Staff are responsible for making sure potential ticket purchasers are aware the pool may close early.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Consideration and Possible Action to Cancel Project with Definitely DePere to Install Historic Monument.*

We have received confirmation from Definitely De Pere that they no longer plan to fundraise and construct a historical monument in the James Street Parkway. The Board of Park Commissioners gave approval for them to pursue this project and received confirmation from the City Council back in June 2018. Definitely De Pere indicated they have terminated the project because the State Historical Society recommended to not rebuild the monument due to its sensitive nature.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Removing the Lighting of Soccer Field at Southwest Park from Capital Improvement Plan and Sell on Surplus.

We have been investigating and considering avenues to use the old lights from Optimist Softball Field. As we have continued to investigate the cost of installing the lights has risen. The most recent decision was to request funding in the 2020 Budget to complete the project, however funding is (at the present time) not likely to be approved.

Staff would recommend that we terminate the project and sell the lights on surplus.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Consideration and Possible Action to Approve Removing the Paving Project at Southwest Park from the Capital Improvement Plan and Consider Options for Reallocating Funds.*

At last month's meeting the Park Board recommended to reallocate \$5,000 from this project and put it toward Riverwalk Maintenance. I would like the Board to formally remove it from our Capital Plan and then discuss options of possibly reallocating the remaining \$11,000 in funds. Potential options for recommending the reallocating of funds are, but not limited to;

- Upper level flooring for Community Center (need between \$8K-\$12K)
- Purchase of inflatable obstacle course for pools (\$6K - \$12K)



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Discussion regarding Bald Eagle Nest at Fairgrounds property.

There has been several discussions and concerns raised by some people regarding the Bald Eagle's Nest and approved activity around the nest. This is a complex matter however, the issue is fairly simple for the City of De Pere.

While the nest is active with baby eagles, the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act plays a big part in how our property can and cannot be used. During times of an active nest, activity is not allowed within 330 feet of the nest moderate activity is not allowed within 660 feet of the nest. In reviewing our property, essentially our entire property falls within the 660 feet so our property is extremely limited in being allowed for special events and/or activities. To help with interpretation, any time we have an organization or person requesting the use of our property we refer them to the representative from the WDNR to obtain approval with their plan and site layout. We do not approve any reservations until this has been accomplished.

So while I indicated the issue is easy for us, the issue as a whole is a little more complex. Brown County owns and operates the northern portion of the property, in which some (but not all) of their property falls outside of the 330/660 foot boundaries. People/organizations that rent the Brown County's property do not always rent our side of the property, however the event has an impact on our property and at times the Eagle's nest.

Brown County recently went through a revision of their rental policies to attempt creating better protection for the Eagle as a result of complaints of fireworks being used on the property. I have included two links to the discussion of the Bald Eagle issue at the Brown County level.

<https://www.co.brown.wi.us/i_brown/minutes/3e4fa9f1b685/ed_recminutes_july25_2019.pdf>
<https://www.co.brown.wi.us/i_brown/minutes/3e4fa9f1b685/ed_recminutesaugust29_2019.pdf>

At this time, I do not believe the Board of Park Commissioners needs to do anything other than ensure our property follows the proper guidelines provided from the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and Joe Henry from the WDNR...which we are doing.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Update on Status of Bomier Boat Launch Construction.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Report on Outcome of 2019 Beer Gardens and Planning for 2020.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Beer Garden Expense - Revenue Summary (PDF)

2019 Beer Garden Summary

Event	Revenues	Expenses	Net Profit	Wristbands Given Out	Estimated Attendance
Sponsorship	\$ 4,000.00		\$ 4,000.00		
Start Up Expenses		\$ 1,755.78	\$ (1,755.78)		
July	\$ 9,389.38	\$ 3,800.28	\$ 5,589.10	905	2,000
August	\$ 6,307.89	\$ 3,261.72	\$ 3,046.17	586	1,200
September **	\$ 4,189.52	\$ 2,605.92	\$ 1,583.60	426	900
Totals	\$ 23,886.79	\$ 11,423.70	\$ 12,463.09		

** We are still waiting on the sales donations from Taste of Ethiopia and Philly's and York. As well as the \$25 event fee from Oley's Cannoli.



City of De Pere, Wisconsin

Request For Board of Park Commissioners Action

MEETING DATE: October 17, 2019

DEPARTMENT: Parks, Recreation & Forestry

FROM: Marty Kosobucki

SUBJECT: Update on Research Being Conducted Regarding Dogs in the Parks.
